

Respondent would know what the documents were. Respondent indicated that he was on his way to accept service, but wanted to know what he was being served with. Again, the Commission general counsel noted that upon acceptance of service, Respondent would know what the documents were. Respondent specifically stated he was on his way to accept service of process, and would not evade service of process. Based on Respondent's representation that he was on his way to accept service of process, and that he would not evade service, Commission general counsel informed Respondent that he was being served with a Rule 8 Order requiring that he submit to drug screening through hair and urine samples (See Affidavit of Shariesse McCannon, Legal Assistant, New Mexico Judicial Standards Commission, attached hereto as Exhibit F).

Respondent then failed to meet Captain Williams, and according to Respondent, "left town" and did not return until Sunday morning, August 20, 2006, when he discovered the Commission's Rule 8 Order inside his home on a table.

Captain Williams posted the Commission Rule 8 Order on Respondent's door at or about 10:55 AM, on August 18, 2006. At or about 2:00 PM on August 18, 2006, Captain Williams, while on another call in the same area, drove by Respondent's home, and confirmed that the document was no longer posted on Respondent's door, and that it was not laying on the ground in the vicinity of Respondent's home.

Respondent's conduct as outlined herein demonstrates Respondent's willful and knowing failure to comply with the reasonable requests or directives of the Commission in violation of Rule 4(D) of the Commission's rules. Respondent's conduct as outlined herein demonstrates Respondent's willful and knowing resistance to and obstruction of the lawful processes available to